

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/668,968	UENO ET AL.
	Examiner Thao X Le	Art Unit 2814

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thao X Le. (3) Mr. Ronald Rudder.
 (2) Mr. Takehiro Mitsui. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 8 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Liang and Ohuchi.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1) Claim 8 step (c) propose to add 'after step (b)' after the word forming. 2) the prior art does not disclose 'the antioxidant on the side surface of the gate' in claim 11 step (c). Further search and consideration would be necessary after formal amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required